

Social Identity, Trauma, and the Development of Grit: A Social Systems Explanation for Divergent Workforce Outcomes

Andrea Shellenberger

Problems Addressed, Aims, and Research Question

Organizations increasingly invest in developing “grit,” resilience, and perseverance among employees to enhance performance, retention, and long-term effectiveness. However, a persistent and underexamined problem remains: individuals with similar backgrounds, training, and even shared early-life conditions often demonstrate markedly different levels of persistence, adaptability, and career success. This variation is particularly visible among individuals who share formative environments (e.g., siblings), yet follow divergent professional trajectories.

Current management research has largely conceptualized grit as an individual-level capability, emphasizing traits such as motivation, discipline, and goal orientation. This perspective limits organizational interventions by overlooking the social and identity-based conditions that shape employee behavior and performance over time.

This study addresses this gap by examining how social identity group dynamics influence grit as a workforce-relevant capability. Specifically, it introduces two constructs—support density (the availability of affirming, reinforcing identity-based relationships across social contexts) and identity strain (conflicting or conditional identity expectations across roles and groups)—as key predictors of grit. The study further examines childhood trauma exposure as a moderating condition that may influence how individuals engage with organizational environments.

The aim is to develop and empirically test a model that explains how identity-based social structures shape perseverance and performance-relevant behaviors, with direct implications for organizational design and leadership practice.

Research Question: To what extent do support density and identity strain within social identity groups predict grit, and does childhood trauma exposure moderate these relationships in adults with siblings?

Literature Review and Theoretical Foundation

This study integrates insights from Social Identity Theory, trauma and adversity research, and organizational behavior literature on grit and resilience to develop a management-relevant framework.

Social Identity Theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979; Ashforth & Mael, 1989) has been widely applied in management research to explain identification, group dynamics, and organizational behavior. Employees' identification with teams, organizations, and professional groups influences motivation, engagement, and performance. However, existing work has focused primarily on single or dominant identities within organizations, overlooking the complex interplay of multiple identity affiliations across work and non-work domains. This study extends Social Identity Theory by conceptualizing identity systems as dynamic structures that shape behavioral capacities such as persistence and adaptability.

Research on adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) demonstrates long-term effects on cognitive functioning, emotional regulation, and stress response—all of which are critical for workplace performance. Yet management research has only recently begun to explore how early-life adversity influences leadership, decision-making, and employee behavior. Importantly, outcomes among individuals with similar trauma exposure vary significantly, suggesting that organizational and social contexts may either buffer or exacerbate these effects.

The literature on grit and resilience in organizations highlights their importance for performance, retention, and leadership effectiveness. However, grit has predominantly been framed as an individual trait or mindset. This study builds on emerging critiques by repositioning grit as a contextually embedded capability, shaped by relational and identity-based environments.

Drawing these literatures together, the study proposes that support density functions as a form of social capital, enhancing persistence by reinforcing identity stability, belonging, and access to resources; identity strain operates as a hidden organizational stressor, undermining performance through role conflict, fragmentation, and cognitive load; and trauma exposure increases sensitivity to identity environments, amplifying both the positive and negative effects of these systems.

Proposed Methodology and Justification for Research Design

This study employs a quantitative, cross-sectional survey design to test the proposed model in a population of adults aged 25 and older with at least one sibling. This design enables the examination of divergent developmental and professional outcomes among

individuals with shared early-life environments.

Measures include grit as the dependent variable, operationalized as perseverance, sustained effort, and goal commitment; support density and identity strain as independent variables; and childhood trauma exposure measured using an ACEs index as a moderator. Control variables include demographic and professional characteristics such as age, gender, education, and socioeconomic background.

The analytical approach uses multiple regression and moderation analysis to test hypothesized relationships, including interaction effects between trauma exposure and identity constructs. Structural equation modeling may be employed to validate measurement models and assess relationships among latent constructs.

A quantitative design is appropriate for testing theoretically derived relationships and supporting generalizability to organizational contexts. The inclusion of moderation analysis allows for a nuanced understanding of how individual history interacts with current social environments, aligning with engaged scholarship by producing findings that are both analytically rigorous and practically relevant.

Intended Contribution to Theory and Practice

This study contributes to management theory by reframing grit as a socially embedded capability rather than an individual trait. It extends Social Identity Theory by introducing support density and identity strain as mechanisms through which identity systems influence performance outcomes and integrates trauma research into organizational behavior.

From a practical perspective, the study offers actionable insights for organizational design, leadership, and talent development. It suggests that organizations can enhance workforce resilience and performance by designing environments that increase support density, reducing identity strain within roles and systems, and recognizing the role of lived experience in shaping employee behavior.

Ultimately, this research shifts the managerial focus from developing gritty individuals to creating organizational conditions where grit can emerge and be sustained, offering a more effective and ethically grounded approach to workforce development.

References

Ashforth, B. E., & Mael, F. (1989). Social identity theory and the organization. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(1), 20–39.

Duckworth, A. L., Peterson, C., Matthews, M. D., & Kelly, D. R. (2007). Grit: Perseverance and passion for long-term goals. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 92(6), 1087–1101.

Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The social psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 33–47). Brooks/Cole.

Cruwys, T., Haslam, S. A., Dingle, G. A., Haslam, C., & Jetten, J. (2014). Depression and social identity: An integrative review. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 18(3), 215–238.

Boyatzis, R. E. (2018). *The science of change: Discovering sustained, desired change from individuals to organizations*. Oxford University Press.

Charmaz, K. (2014). *Constructing grounded theory* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.

Van de Ven, A. H. (2007). *Engaged scholarship: A guide for organizational and social research*. Oxford University Press.